# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION

Atty. Docket No. **STOR1110-1** 



Applicant

Jeff G. Bone

Application Number Date Filed 07/30/2003

Title

Method and Apparatus for Managing File Systems and File-Based Data Storage

Group Art Unit

Examiner

2168

Dwivedi, Mahesh H.

Confirmation Number:

9306

MAIL STOP AMENDMENTS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

#### Certificate of Mailing Under 37 C.F.R. §1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail No. EM 005490867 US in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22312-1450 on 7. 2.5 . 2007

Katherin Cope Printed Name

In response to the Official Action mailed May 31, 2007, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider the rejections of the Claims in view of this reply.

#### **RESPONSE**

In response to the Office Action dated 05/31/2007, the period for response to which extends through 08/31/2007, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the patentability of the claimed invention in view of the remarks and amendments to the claims submitted herewith.

A Listing of the claims begins on page 3 of this response.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 24 of this response.

Attorney Docket No.: STOR1110-1

Amdt. Dated 08/31/2007

Response to Office action of 05/31/2007

Application No.: 10/723,954 Customer ID: 44654

- 2 -

## **Summary of the Office Action**

### Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 37, 39-43, 52-59, 69-76, 86-93 and 103-105 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Heilig et al.**, U.S. PGPUB 2002/0046262, in view of **Britton et al.**, U.S. Patent No. 6,654,814.

Claims 44-51, 60-68, 77-85 and 94-102 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Heilig et al.** in view of **Britton et al.** and further in view of **Kao**, U.S. Patent 5,870,734.

#### **Summary of the Response to the Office Action**

The 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections to claims 37 and 39-105 are respectfully traversed.